Claims 1-2, 4-14, 16-17, 20-27, and 29-30 are pending in this application and are

rejected. By this paper, it is proposed to amend claims 1, 7, 16, 20, and 29 in order to put

this application into condition for allowance or to narrow the issues for appeal.

Allowable Subject Matter

In the Office Action mailed August 27, 2007, the examiner stated that claims 3, 15,

and 28 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form and including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Accordingly, claim 1 has been

rewritten to include the limitations set forth in claim 3 and claim 3 has been cancelled.

Thus, claims 2, 4, 5, and 6 should be in condition of allowance since they depend on claim

1. Claim 7 has been rewritten to include all of the limitations of allowable claim 15 and

claims 14 and 15 have been cancelled. Thus, claim 8-13, 16 and 17 should also be in

condition for allowance since they depend on claim 7. Claim 20 has been amended to

include all of the limitations of allowable claim 28 and claims 27 and 28 have been

cancelled. Thus, claims 21-26, and 29-30 should also be in condition for allowance since

they depend on claim 20.

Accordingly, entry of this amendment, withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of

the application are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the arguments above, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-2, 4-

13, 16-17, 20-26 and 29-30 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully

requests that timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited

to contact the undersigned Attorney for the Applicant via telephone if such

communication would expedite this application.

8

Application no. 10/661,805 Amendment dated: June 22, 2007

Reply to advisory action mailed: April 17, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Vincent J. Gnoffo

Registration No. 44,714

Attorney for Applicant

October 29, 2007 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610 (312) 321-4200